

# Power Values of Palindromes

JAVIER CILLERUELO

Departamento de Matemáticas  
Facultad de Ciencias  
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid  
28049, Madrid, España  
franciscojavier.cilleruelo@uam.es

FLORIAN LUCA

Instituto de Matemáticas  
Universidad Nacional Autonoma de México  
C.P. 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, México  
fluca@matmor.unam.mx

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

Department of Computing  
Macquarie University  
Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia  
igor@ics.mq.edu.au

April 16, 2008

## Abstract

We show that for a fixed integer base  $g \geq 2$  the palindromes to base  $g$  which are  $k$ -powers form a very thin set in the set of all base  $g$  palindromes.

# 1 Introduction

For a fixed integer base  $g \geq 2$  consider the *base  $g$  representation* of an arbitrary natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} a_k(n)g^k, \quad (1)$$

where  $a_k(n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, g-1\}$  for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, L-1$ , and the leading digit  $a_{L-1}(n)$  is *nonzero*. The integer  $n$  is said to be a *base  $g$  palindrome* if its digits satisfy the symmetry condition:

$$a_k(n) = a_{L-1-k}(n) \quad \text{for all } k = 0, 1, \dots, L-1. \quad (2)$$

When the base  $g$  is understood, we will refer to these numbers simply as *palindromes*.

It has recently been shown in [1] that almost all palindromes are composite. In [6], it has been shown that almost all Fibonacci numbers are not palindromes, and the argument there applies to some other similar sequences. For an integer  $a \geq 2$ , the smallest positive integer  $k$  such that  $a^k$  is not a base  $g$  palindrome has been estimated in [4] as  $\exp(O((\log H)^3 \log \log H))$ , where  $H = \max\{a, g\}$ . Several more results about the prime divisors and other arithmetic properties of palindromes can be found in [2, 3].

Square values of palindromes have been investigated in [5], where some constructions of infinite families of palindromes which are perfect squares are given.

Here, we continue the study of  $k$ -power values of palindromes and show that they form a very thin set in the set of all palindromes. We also show that this set is larger than standard heuristic arguments suggest.

Throughout the paper, implied constants in the symbols  $O$  and  $\ll$  may depend on the base  $g$  (we recall that the notations  $U = O(V)$  and  $U \ll V$  are equivalent to the assertion that the inequality  $|U| \leq cV$  holds with some positive constant  $c$ ).

## 2 Upper bound

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{g,L}$  denote the set of all palindromes (2) of length  $L$ ; that is, the set of positive integers satisfying both (1) and (2).

We also denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,L}^k$  the set of  $n \in \mathcal{P}_{g,L}$  which are  $k$ -powers.

**Theorem.** *The inequality*

$$\#\mathcal{Q}_{g,L}^k \ll (\#\mathcal{P}_{g,L})^{1/k}$$

holds for all  $L \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $L$  is large. Let  $M = \lfloor (L-1)/(2k) \rfloor$ . We write  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,L}^k = \sum_{0 \leq a < g^M} \mathcal{Q}_{g,L,a}^k$  where  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,L,a}^k = \{x^k \in \mathcal{P}_{g,L}, x \equiv a \pmod{g^M}\}$ .

We observe that  $\#\mathcal{Q}_{g,L,a}^k = 0$  for those  $a$  such that the last digit of  $a_{g^M}^k$  in base  $g$  is 0. Thus, we assume that the last digit of  $a_{g^M}^k$  is different of zero. Then, if  $x^k$  is a palindrome for some positive integer  $x$ , its first  $M$  digits are the mirror reflection of the base  $g$  representation of  $a_{g^M}^k$ . We write  $b$  for this number of  $M$  digits. For  $x^k \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,L,a}^k$ , we have  $bg^{L-M} \leq x^k < (b+1)g^{L-M}$ . Thus,  $(bg^{L-M})^{1/k} \leq x < ((b+1)g^{L-M})^{1/k}$ . The number of integers in the arithmetic progression  $x \equiv a \pmod{g^M}$  lying in the above interval is bounded above by  $\frac{1}{g^M} \left( ((b+1)g^{L-M})^{1/k} - (bg^{L-M})^{1/k} \right) + 1$ .

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{Q}_{g,L}^k &\leq g^M \max_a \#\mathcal{Q}_{g,L,a}^k \\ &\leq ((b+1)g^{L-M})^{1/k} - (bg^{L-M})^{1/k} + g^M \\ &\leq g^{\frac{L-M}{k}} \frac{1}{k} (g^{M-1})^{\frac{1}{k}-1} + g^M \leq \frac{1}{k} g^{\frac{L}{k}-M+1-\frac{1}{k}} + g^M, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result.  $\square$

### 3 Lower bound

Most certainly, our result is not tight and there should be very few palindromes which are  $k$ -powers. We note that the standard naïve heuristic predictions suggests that

$$\#\mathcal{Q}_{g,L}^2 \approx \sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{g,L}} \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sim L \log g$$

and

$$\#\mathcal{Q}_{g,L}^k \approx \sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}_{g,L}} \frac{1}{n^{1-1/k}} < \infty$$

for  $k \geq 3$ .

However, the above heuristic is wrong and in fact it is easy to show that if  $g > k!$ , then there are infinitely many palindromic  $k$ -powers. To see this, observe that the polynomial  $(x^H + 1)^k$  is symmetric and all its coefficients are at most  $k!$ . Thus, for  $x = g^\ell$  and  $g > k!$ , we obtain palindromic  $k$ th-powers. But the following theorem is stronger and unexpected.

**Theorem.** *Given  $k \geq 2$ , there exists a positive constant  $c = c(k)$  depending on  $k$  such that if  $g \geq g(k)$ , then*

$$\#\mathcal{Q}_{g,L}^k \gg L^{cg^{1/\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}}.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that the  $k$ -power of a symmetric polynomial is also symmetric. So, we consider  $f(x) = \sum_{a \in A} x^a$  for a symmetric set  $A$  with  $\max A = L$  and  $\min A = 0$ . We have that

$$f^k(x) = \sum_n r_k(n, A) x^n$$

where

$$r_k(n, A) = \#\{(a_1, \dots, a_k) : n = a_1 + \dots + a_k, a_i \in A\}.$$

Of course, if  $\max r_k(n, A) \leq g - 1$ , then  $\sum_n r_k(n, A) g^n$  is a palindromic  $k$ -power since  $r_k(kL - n, A) = r_k(n, A)$ .

Next, we give a lower bound for the number of symmetric sets  $A$  with  $\max A = L$ ,  $\min A = 0$ , and  $\max r_k(n, A) \leq g - 1$ .

Let  $H = \lfloor (L - 1)/2 \rfloor$ , and let  $B \subset \{1, \dots, H\}$  be a subset with the property that all the quantities  $\sum_{b \in U} b - \sum_{b \in U'} b$ , with disjoint multisets  $U$  and  $U'$  of  $B$ , are distinct (mod  $L$ ). We will refer to this property as *property P*.

**Claim 1.** *If  $B$  satisfies property P and  $|B| \geq 2$ , then set  $A = \{0, L\} \cup B \cup (L - B)$  is symmetric and satisfies*

$$\max r_k(n, A) \leq 2k!(\#B)^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}.$$

*Proof.* The summands of any representation of  $n$  as a sum of  $k$  elements of  $A$  can be ordered as

$$n = \sum_{b \in U_1} b + \sum_{b \in U_2} (L - b) + \sum_{b \in U_3} (b + (L - b)) + \sum_{x \in U_4} x,$$

where  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  are non decreasing sequences of elements of  $B$  with  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $U_4$  is a non decreasing sequence of elements of  $\{0, L\}$ , and  $\#U_1 + \#U_2 + 2\#U_3 + \#U_4 = k$ .

Since  $n \equiv \sum_{b \in U_1} b - \sum_{b \in U_2} b \pmod{L}$ , and  $B$  has property  $P$ , the sequences  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are determined by  $n$ . We observe also that, given  $n$ , the sequence  $U_4$  is determined by  $\#U_3$ . Thus, the different representations of  $n$  in this form all come from the  $\#B$  possible elections for each  $b_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \#U_3$ , and the  $k!$  different order in the presentations of the  $k$  elements. Since  $\#U_3 \leq k/2$ , we have that

$$r_k(n, A) \leq k! \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (\#B)^r \leq 2k! (\#B)^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}.$$

□

So, each set  $B \subset \{1, \dots, H\}$  with  $2 \leq \#B \leq \left(\frac{g-1}{2k!}\right)^{1/\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}$  satisfying property  $P$  provides the  $k$ -power palindrome  $(g^L + \sum_{b \in B} (g^b + g^{L-b}) + 1)^k$ .

Next, we estimate from below the number of subsets  $B \subset \{1, \dots, H\}$ , with cardinality  $t = \lfloor \left(\frac{g-1}{2k!}\right)^{1/\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \rfloor$  satisfying property  $P$ .

We observe that  $B$  doesn't satisfies property  $P$  if there exist disjoint multisets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  with elements in  $B$  such that  $\sum_{b \in U_1} b - \sum_{b \in U_2} b = jL$ , for some  $j \in [-k, k]$ .

In the first step, we choose any element  $b_1 \in B$  from  $\{1, \dots, H\}$ , except those elements of  $B$  which are in the form  $L, L/2, \dots, L/k$ . If such an element cannot be choen, then  $B$  cannot satisfy property  $P$ .

Assume that  $r \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ , and  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  have been chosen. We take  $b_{r+1}$  to be any of the elements of  $\{1, \dots, H\}$  except for the previous ones, and those elements  $x$  such that there exists disjoint multisets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  destroying property  $P$ , one of them containing  $x$ . Since the number of exceptions depends on  $t$  and  $k$ , but not on  $H$ , we have that once  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  are chosen, we have  $H + O_{k,t}(1)$  possibilities for  $b_{r+1}$ . Thus, the number of such sets of cardinality  $t$  chosen in this way is  $(H + O_{k,t}(1))^t$ . But, since the same set can be ordered in  $t!$  different ways, we have that the number of sets  $B$  satisfying property  $P$  is  $\geq (H + O_{k,t}(1))^t / t! \gg L^t$ , as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally, it easy to check that for  $g > 2^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1} k! + 1$ , we have that  $t \geq cg^{1/\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}$ , where  $c = \frac{1}{2} (4k!)^{-1/\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}$ . □

Certainly, obtaining tighter lower and upper bounds on  $\#\mathcal{Q}_{g,L}$  is an interesting open question.

On the other hand, we have not been able to produce a similar explicit construction of  $k$ -powers palindromes for  $g \leq k!$ . In particular we don't know if there are infinitely many squares among binary palindromes (see also [5], where this questions has also been mentioned).

## Acknowledgements

During the preparation of this paper, J. C. was supported in part by Grant MTM 2005-04730 of MYCIT, F. L. was supported in part by Grant SEP-CONACyT 46755, and I. S. by ARC Grant DP0556431.

## References

- [1] W. Banks, D. Hart and M. Sakata, 'Almost all palindromes are composite', *Math. Res. Lett.*, **11** (2004), 853–868.
- [2] W. Banks and I. Shparlinski, 'Prime divisors of palindromes', *Period. Math. Hungar.*, **51** (2005), 1–10.
- [3] W. Banks and I. Shparlinski, 'Average value of the Euler function on binary palindromes', *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math*, **54** (2006), 95–101.
- [4] S. Hernández Hernández and F. Luca, 'Palindromic powers', *Rev. Colombiana Mat.*, **40** (2006), 81–86.
- [5] I. Korec, 'Palindromic squares for various number system bases', *Math. Slovaca*, **41** (1991), 261–276.
- [6] F. Luca, 'Palindromes in Lucas sequences', *Monatsh. Math.*, **138** (2003), 209–223.