

## On squares in polynomial products

Javier Cilleruelo · Florian Luca · Adolfo Quirós ·  
Igor E. Shparlinski

Received: 3 June 2008 / Accepted: 2 September 2008  
© Springer-Verlag 2008

**Abstract** Let  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree  $D \geq 2$  and let  $N$  be a sufficiently large positive integer. We estimate the number of positive integers  $n \leq N$  such that the product

$$F(n) = \prod_{k=1}^n f(k)$$

is a perfect square. We also consider more general questions and give a lower bound on the number of distinct quadratic fields of the form  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{F(n)})$ ,  $n = M + 1, \dots, M + N$ .

**Keywords** Quadratic fields · Square sieve · Character sums

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** 11L40 · 11N36 · 11R11

---

Communicated by U. Zannier.

J. Cilleruelo · A. Quirós  
Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain  
e-mail: franciscojavier.cilleruelo@uam.es

A. Quirós  
e-mail: adolfo.quiros@uam.es

F. Luca (✉)  
Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,  
C.P. 58089 Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico  
e-mail: fluca@matmor.unam.mx

I. E. Shparlinski  
Department of Computing, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia  
e-mail: igor@ics.mq.edu.au

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

For a nonconstant polynomial  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and a positive integer  $n$  we consider the product

$$F(n) = \prod_{m=1}^n f(m).$$

Erdős and Selfridge [7] proved that  $F(n)$  is never a perfect power for  $n \geq 2$  when  $f(X) = X + a$  for some nonnegative integer  $a$ . It has been recently shown in [4] that  $F(n)$  is a perfect square only for  $n = 3$  when  $f(X) = X^2 + 1$ . The method of [4] can be extended to more general polynomials  $f(X) = X^2 + a$  with a positive integer  $a \geq 1$ . However, the method does not seem to apply to polynomials  $f(X)$  of degree  $D \geq 3$ . Here, we pursue an alternative approach which does not give a result of the same strength, but instead can be applied to more general questions.

Accordingly, for a given polynomial  $f(X)$ , a squarefree integer  $d$ , and nonnegative integers  $M$  and  $N$ , we let  $S_d(M, N)$  denote the number of integer solutions  $(n, s)$  to the equation

$$F(n) = ds^2, \quad \text{for } n = M + 1, \dots, M + N.$$

We obtain an upper bound on  $S_d(M, N)$  which is uniform in  $d$ . Thus, in particular, our result yields a lower bound on the number of distinct quadratic fields among  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{F(n)})$  for  $n = M + 1, \dots, M + N$  (see [5, 12–14], where similar questions are considered for some other sequences).

### 1.2 Notation

In what follows, we use the symbols ‘ $O$ ’, ‘ $\gg$ ’ and ‘ $\ll$ ’ with their usual meanings (that is,  $A = O(B)$ ,  $A \ll B$ , and  $B \gg A$  are all equivalent to the inequality  $|A| \leq cB$  with some constant  $c > 0$ ). The implied constants in the symbols ‘ $O$ ’, ‘ $\ll$ ’ and ‘ $\gg$ ’ may depend on our polynomial  $f(X)$ .

For a positive number  $x$ , we write  $\log x$  for the maximum between the natural logarithm of  $x$  and 1. Thus, we always have  $\log x \geq 1$ .

### 1.3 Our results

Here, we prove some unconditional results which hold for irreducible polynomials of arbitrary degree.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree  $D \geq 2$ . Then, uniformly for squarefree integers  $d \geq 1$  and arbitrary integers  $M \geq 0$  and  $N \geq 2$ , we have*

$$S_d(M, N) \ll N^{11/12}(\log N)^{1/3}.$$

**Corollary 2** *Let  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree  $D \geq 2$ . Then there is a positive constant  $C$  depending only on the polynomial  $f(X)$  such that there are at least  $CN^{1/12}(\log N)^{-1/3}$  distinct quadratic fields amongst  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{f(n)})$  for  $n = M + 1, \dots, M + N$ .*

We note that for a fixed value of  $M$ , a stronger version of Corollary 2 with the lower bound  $CN/\log N$  instead of the one given by the above corollary can be easily derived from [6]. In turn, the bound of Corollary 2 is uniform with respect to  $M$  and does not seem to follow from the results of [6].

## 2 Auxiliary results

### 2.1 Character sums

Our proofs rest on some bounds for character sums. For an odd integer  $m$  we use  $(k/m)$  to denote, as usual, the Jacobi symbol of  $k$  modulo  $m$ .

The following result is a direct consequence of the Chinese Remainder Theorem and the Weil bound (see [11, Equations (12.21) and (12.23)]).

**Lemma 3** *Let  $G(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a fixed polynomial of degree  $D \geq 2$ . For all primes  $\ell \neq p$  such that  $G(X)$  is not a perfect square modulo  $\ell$  and  $p$  and all integers  $a$ , we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\ell p} \left( \frac{G(n)}{\ell p} \right) \exp \left( 2\pi i \frac{an}{\ell p} \right) \ll D^2 (\ell p)^{1/2}.$$

Using the standard reduction between complete and incomplete sums (see [11, Section 12.2]), we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 4** *Let  $G(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be an arbitrary polynomial of degree  $D \geq 2$ . For all primes  $\ell \neq p$  such that  $G(X)$  is not a perfect square modulo  $\ell$  and  $p$ , we have*

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{G(n)}{\ell p} \right) \ll D^2 \left( \frac{N}{\ell p} + 1 \right) (\ell p)^{1/2} \log(\ell p).$$

It is important to remark that the implied constant in the bound of Lemma 4 is absolute.

### 2.2 Prime divisors of polynomials

For a real number  $z \geq 1$  we let  $\mathcal{L}_z$  be the set of primes  $\ell \in [z, 2z]$  such that  $f(X)$  has no root modulo  $\ell$ ; that is,  $f(n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$  for all integers  $n$ . By the Frobenius Density Theorem, the set  $\mathcal{L}_z$  has positive density as a subset of all primes in  $[z, 2z]$ . In fact, this density is at least  $(D - 1)/D!$  (see [2, Lemma 3]). Thus, we have the following result.

**Lemma 5** Let  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be an irreducible polynomial. We have

$$\#\mathcal{L}_z = \frac{1}{\kappa} (\pi(2z) - \pi(z)) + O\left(z(\log z)^{-2}\right),$$

where  $\kappa \leq D!/(D - 1)$  is a positive rational number depending on the polynomial  $f(X)$ .

### 2.3 Multiplicities of roots of polynomial products

We show that products of consecutive shifts of irreducible polynomials always have at least one simple root.

**Lemma 6** Let  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be an irreducible polynomial. Then for any integers  $k > h \geq 0$ , the polynomial

$$\prod_{m=h+1}^k f(X + m) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

has at least one root of multiplicity 1.

*Proof* Suppose that all roots of the above polynomial are multiple. Since  $f(X)$  is irreducible, all roots of each of the  $f(X + m)$  for  $m = h + 1, \dots, k$  are simple. Thus, every root of  $f(X + k)$  must be a root of  $\prod_{m=h+1}^{k-1} f(X + m)$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  be a root of  $f(X)$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha_0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \alpha$  for all roots  $\alpha$  of  $f(X)$  (in general  $\alpha_0$  is not unique; we just pick one of them). Then  $\alpha_0 - k$  is a root of  $f(X + k)$  and can not be a root of  $f(X + i)$  for any positive integer  $i < k$  since otherwise,  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + i - k$  would be a root of  $f(X)$  with a smaller real part than  $\alpha_0$ , contradicting the choice of  $\alpha_0$ .  $\square$

### 2.4 Character sums with polynomial products

The following estimate of character sums is obtained via an adaptation of the approach in [8] (see also [9, 10]).

**Lemma 7** Let  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be an irreducible polynomial with  $D \geq 2$  and let  $z = N^{1/2}$ . Then there exists a subset  $\mathcal{R}_z \subseteq \mathcal{L}_z$  of cardinality  $\#\mathcal{R}_z \gg z/\log z$  and such that for any distinct primes  $\ell \neq p$  in  $\mathcal{R}_z$  and arbitrary integers  $M \geq 0$  and  $N \geq 2$  the following bound holds

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left(\frac{F(n)}{\ell p}\right) \ll N^{11/12}(\log N)^{1/3}.$$

*Proof* Obviously, for any integer  $h \geq 0$  we have

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left(\frac{F(n)}{\ell p}\right) = \sum_{n=M+1+h}^{M+N+h} \left(\frac{F(n)}{\ell p}\right) + O(h) = \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left(\frac{F(n+h)}{\ell p}\right) + O(h).$$

Therefore, for any integer  $H \geq 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n)}{\ell p} \right) = \frac{1}{H} W + O(H), \tag{1}$$

where

$$W = \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n+h)}{\ell p} \right).$$

Changing the order of summation and applying the Cauchy inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} |W|^2 &\leq \left( \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left| \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \left( \frac{F(n+h)}{\ell p} \right) \right| \right)^2 \\ &\leq N \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left| \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \left( \frac{F(n+h)}{\ell p} \right) \right|^2 \\ &= N \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left| \sum_{h,k=0}^{H-1} \left( \frac{F(n+h)F(n+k)}{\ell p} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of summation again and separating the “diagonal” terms with  $h = k$ , which contribute at most 1 each, we get

$$|W|^2 \leq HN^2 + 2N \sum_{0 \leq h < k \leq H-1} \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n+h)F(n+k)}{\ell p} \right) \right|. \tag{2}$$

We now notice that for  $h < k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(n+h)F(n+k) &= \left( \prod_{m=1}^{n+h} f(m) \right)^2 \prod_{m=n+h+1}^{n+k} f(m) \\ &= \left( \prod_{m=1}^{n+h} f(m) \right)^2 \prod_{m=h+1}^k f(n+m). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n+h)F(n+k)}{\ell p} \right) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{\prod_{m=h+1}^k f(n+m)}{\ell p} \right) \right|. \tag{3}$$

We now assume that  $H < z$  and eliminate some primes from  $\mathcal{L}_z$  as follows.

We recall that, by Lemma 6,

$$F_{h,k}(X) = \prod_{m=h+1}^k f(X+m) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

has at least one simple root. Write

$$F_{h,k}(X) = g_{h,k}(X)P_{h,k}(X)^2,$$

where  $g_{h,k}(X), P_{h,k}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and all the roots of  $g_{h,k}(X)$  are simple. Let  $a_0$  be the leading coefficient of  $f(X)$ . Then, for  $F_{h,k}(X)$  to be a square modulo  $p$  (or  $\ell$ ), it is necessary that  $p$  (or  $\ell$ ) divides one of the nonzero numbers among  $a_0^{D^2} \text{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha,\beta)/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha - \beta + j)$  for some distinct roots  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of  $f(X)$  and an integer  $j \in \{0, \dots, H\}$ , where we use  $\text{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha,\beta)/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma)$  for the norm of  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Since

$$a_0^{D^2} \text{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha,\beta)/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha - \beta + j) = O\left(H^{D^2}\right),$$

we see that there are at most  $O(\log H / \log \log H)$  such primes  $p$ . Since  $j$  can take at most  $H$  values, we get a totality of at most  $O(H \log H / \log \log H)$  such possible primes. Thus, by Lemma 5, it follows that if we choose

$$H = \left\lfloor z^{1/3} (\log z)^{-1/3} \right\rfloor, \tag{4}$$

then for a sufficiently large  $z$ , there are at least a half of the primes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_z$  for which  $F_{h,k}(X)$  is not a perfect square modulo  $\ell$  for any pair  $(h, k)$  with  $H \geq k > h \geq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_z$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{L}_z$  made up of such primes and assume that  $p, \ell \in \mathcal{R}_z$ . Then the product  $F_{h,k}(X)$  is not a perfect square modulo  $\ell$  and  $p$ . Thus, Lemma 4 applies to the sum on the right hand side of (3) and leads to the bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n+h)F(n+k)}{\ell p} \right) \right| &\ll (k-h)^2 \left( \frac{N}{\ell p} + 1 \right) (\ell p)^{1/2} \log(\ell p) \\ &\ll H^2 \left( \frac{N}{z^2} + 1 \right) z \log z = H^2 \left( \frac{N}{z} + z \right) \log z. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this bound in (2), we derive

$$|W|^2 \ll HN^2 + NH^4 \left( \frac{N}{z} + z \right) \log z.$$

We now see from (1) that

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n)}{\ell p} \right) \ll NH^{-1/2} + \left( NHz^{-1/2} + N^{1/2}Hz^{1/2} \right) (\log z)^{1/2} + H.$$

Recalling how we have chosen  $H$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n)}{\ell p} \right) \ll \left( Nz^{-1/6} + N^{1/2}z^{5/6} \right) (\log z)^{1/3} + z^{1/3}.$$

Since  $z = N^{1/2}$ , we get that

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n)}{\ell p} \right) \ll N^{11/12} (\log N)^{1/3},$$

thus concluding the proof. □

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Let again  $z > 1$  and take  $\mathcal{L}_z$  as in Section 2.2 and  $\mathcal{R}_z \subset \mathcal{L}_z$  as in Lemma 7.

We note that if  $A \geq 1$  is a perfect square not divisible by primes  $\ell \in \mathcal{R}_z$ , then

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}_z} \left( \frac{A}{\ell} \right) = \#\mathcal{R}_z.$$

For each  $n$  counted in  $S_d(M, N)$ , we see that  $dF(n)$  is a perfect square and that  $d \mid F(n)$ . Hence, since  $F(n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$  for any  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_z$ ,

$$\gcd \left( dF(n), \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}_z} \ell \right) = 1.$$

Thus, for such positive integers  $n$  we have

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}_z} \left( \frac{dF(n)}{\ell} \right) = \#\mathcal{R}_z.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\#\mathcal{R}_z\right)^2 S_d(M, N) \ll \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}_z} \left( \frac{dF(n)}{\ell} \right) \right)^2.$$

Thus,

$$S_d(M, N) \ll \left(\#\mathcal{R}_z\right)^{-2} \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}_z} \left( \frac{dF(n)}{\ell} \right) \right)^2. \tag{5}$$

Squaring out, changing the order of summation, and separating the “diagonal term”  $N\#\mathcal{R}_z$  corresponding to  $\ell = p$ , we see that

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}_z} \left( \frac{dF(n)}{\ell} \right) \right)^2 \leq N\#\mathcal{R}_z + \sum_{\substack{\ell, p \in \mathcal{R}_z \\ \ell \neq p}} \left( \frac{d}{\ell p} \right) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n)}{\ell p} \right). \tag{6}$$

The estimates (5) and (6) yield

$$\begin{aligned} S_d(M, N) &\ll \frac{1}{(\#\mathcal{R}_z)^2} \left( N\#\mathcal{R}_z + \sum_{\substack{\ell, p \in \mathcal{R}_z \\ \ell \neq p}} \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n)}{\ell p} \right) \right| \right) \\ &\ll \frac{N}{\#\mathcal{R}_z} + \frac{1}{(\#\mathcal{R}_z)^2} \sum_{\substack{\ell, p \in \mathcal{R}_z \\ \ell \neq p}} \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n)}{\ell p} \right) \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Choosing  $z = N^{1/2}$ , we can use Lemma 7 to get that

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell, p \in \mathcal{R}_z \\ \ell \neq p}} \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left( \frac{F(n)}{\ell p} \right) \ll \#\mathcal{R}_z^2 N^{11/12} (\log N)^{1/3}.$$

Inserting the last estimate into (7) and recalling that  $\#\mathcal{R}_z \gg z / \log z$ , we conclude the proof.

### 4 Comments

Clearly, the case of products of linear polynomials is not covered by our method. For example, in the case of  $f(X) = X + a$ , we immediately conclude from the Erdős–Selfridge result [7] that the number of distinct quadratic fields among  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{F(n)})$  for  $n = M + 1, \dots, M + N$  is

$$N - \#\{m : m^2 \in [M + 1 + a, M + N + a]\} = N + O(N^{1/2})$$

for all  $M \geq -a + 1$  and  $N \geq 1$ . When  $f(X) = aX + b$  is still linear but not monic, then it is easy to see that the number of such quadratic fields is at least the number of primes congruent to  $b$  modulo  $a$  in the interval  $(f(M + 1), f(M + N))$ , which is at least  $cN / \log N$  for some constant  $c > 0$  depending only on  $a$  and  $b$ , when  $N$  is not very small with respect to  $M$ , say  $N > M^{c(a)}$  with some constant  $c(a) \in (0, 1)$  (see for example [1]; when  $a = 1$ , we can take any  $c(1) > 7/12$ ), thus recovering a very particular case of the result from [6] mentioned in the Introduction with some uniformity in  $M$ .

It is also of interest to study the case when  $f(X)$  is not irreducible. In this case, it may happen that  $f(X)$  has a root modulo  $p$  for all primes  $p$  although  $f(X)$  might



not have any linear factors. An example of such a polynomial is  $f(X) = (X^2 - 2)(X^2 - 3)(X^2 - 6)$  (see [3] for more examples of such polynomials). Our method is not applicable to such polynomials so one should use different arguments. Finally, if  $f(X)$  has only simple roots and factors completely over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then one can again bound the number of distinct quadratic fields among  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{F(n)})$  for  $n = M + 1, \dots, M + N$  from below by using primes in arithmetic progressions. For some particular cases, say if  $f(X)$  is monic and has an even number of linear factors, then one can do better by noting that

$$F(n) = G(n)^2 H(n),$$

where  $G(X)$  is some hypergeometric function and  $H(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a monic polynomial, and so the question of studying the number of distinct quadratic fields among  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{F(n)})$  for  $n = M + 1, \dots, M + N$  reduces to studying the number of distinct fields among  $\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{H(n)}) : n = N + 1, \dots, N + M\}$  with a polynomial  $H(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . This problem has been treated in [5,6] and [14].

**Acknowledgments** We thank the referee for comments which improved the quality of the paper. This work was done during a very pleasant visit of F. L. and I. S. to the Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain. During the preparation of this paper, F. L. was supported in part by Grant SEP-CONACyT 46755, I. S. by ARC Grant DP0556431, J. C. by Project MTM2005-04730 from MEC (Spain) and A. Q. by Project MTM2006-10548 from MEC (Spain). Both J. C. and A. Q. were also supported by the joint Madrid Region-UAM project TENU2 (CCG07-UAM/ESP-1814).

## References

1. Balog, A., Ono, K.: The Chebotarev density theorem in short intervals and some questions of Serre. *J. Number Theory* **91**, 356–371 (2001)
2. Ballot, C., Luca, F.: Prime factors of  $a^{f(n)} - 1$  with an irreducible polynomial  $f(x)$ . *New York J. Math.* **12**, 39–45 (2006)
3. Berend, D., Bilu, Yu.: Polynomials with roots modulo every integer. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **124**, 1663–1671 (1996)
4. Cilleruelo, J.: Squares in  $(1^2 + 1) \cdots (n^2 + 1)$ . *J. Number Theory* **128**, 2488–2491 (2008)
5. Cutter, P., Granville, A., Tucker, T.J.: The number of fields generated by the square root of values of a given polynomial. *Can. Math. Bull.* **46**, 71–79 (2003)
6. Dvornicich, R., Zannier, U.: Fields containing values of algebraic functions. *Annali Scuola Normale Sup. Cl. Sci., Ser. IV* **21**, 421–443 (1994)
7. Erdős, P., Selfridge, J.L.: The product of consecutive integers is never a power. *Illinois J. Math.* **19**, 292–301 (1975)
8. Garaev, M.Z., Luca, F., Shparlinski, I.E.: Character sums and congruences with  $n!$  *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **356**, 5089–5102 (2004)
9. Garaev, M.Z., Luca, F., Shparlinski, I.E.: Catalan and Apéry numbers in residue classes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **113**, 851–865 (2006)
10. Garaev, M.Z., Luca, F., Shparlinski, I.E., Winterhof, A.: On the lower bound of the linear complexity over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of Sidelnikov sequences. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **52**, 3299–3304 (2006)
11. Iwaniec, H., Kowalski, E.: *Analytic Number Theory*. Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2004)
12. Luca, F., Shparlinski, I.E.: Discriminants of complex multiplication fields of elliptic curves over finite fields. *Can. Math. Bull.* **50**, 409–417 (2007)
13. Luca, F., Shparlinski, I.E.: Quadratic fields generated by the Shanks sequence. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2008, to appear)
14. Luca, F., Shparlinski, I.E.: Quadratic fields generated by polynomials. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* (2008, to appear)