AN UPPER BOUND FOR $B_2[2]$ SEQUENCES
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Abstract. We introduce a new counting method to deal with $B_2[2]$ sequences, getting a new upper bound for the size of these sequences, $F(N, 2) \leq \sqrt{6N} + 1$.

Introduction and Notation

For a sequence of positive integers $A$, the functions $r(n), r'(n), d(n)$ denote the number of solutions of

\begin{align*}
n = b + a, & \quad a \leq b, \quad a, b \in A. \\
n = b + a, & \quad a < b, \quad a, b \in A. \\
n = b - a, & \quad a < b, \quad a, b \in A.
\end{align*}

We say that a sequence of integers $A$ belongs to the class of $B_2[g]$ sequences if $r(n) \leq g$ for any integer $n$.

To find $B_2[g]$ sequences as dense as possible is a standard problem in additive number theory ([2],[5],[6],[7]). We define $F(N, g) = \max\{|A|, A \subset [1, N], A \in B_2[g]\}$. One is interested in finding precise bounds of $F(N, g)$.

For finite $B_2[g]$ sequences we have the following simple argument. Let $A \subset [1, N]$, be $A$ a $B_2[g]$ sequence. Then

$$\binom{|A| + 1}{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{2N} r(n) \leq 2Ng,$$

which yields $F(N, g) \leq 2\sqrt{gN}$.

$B_2[1]$ sequences are usually called Sidon sequences. It is easy to see that these sequences also satisfy that $d(n) \leq 1$ for any integer $n \geq 1$. This special property of the differences makes it easier to get a better upper bound for the size of finite Sidon sequences. Erdős [3] used this fact to prove that

$$F(N, 1) = F(N) = \sqrt{N}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$

Unfortunately, the function $d(n)$ is not bounded for $B_2[g]$ sequences if $g > 1$ and Erdős argument does not apply. Recently, I.Ruzsa, C.Trujillo and the author [1], using a density argument, have proved that $F(N, g) \leq 1.864\sqrt{gN}$.

In this paper we use a new combinatorial argument which allows us to take advantage of average behaviour of the differences for $B_2[2]$ sequences.

Theorem 1. $F(N, 2) \leq \sqrt{6N} + 1$.

On the other hand, it is known [1] that $F(N, 2) \geq (3/2 + o(1))\sqrt{N}$.

Theorem 1 improves the above result for $g = 2$ and the proof is completely different and easier.
Proof of theorem 1

Lemma 1. For any finite sequence $A$ of positive integers we have

\[ i) \binom{|A|}{2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} r'(n) \]

\[ ii) \binom{|A|}{2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} d(n) \]

Proof. Obvious.

Let $A$ a $B_2[2]$ sequence, $A \subset [1, N]$ and we define $R_j = \{ n \notin 2 \times A; r(n) = j \}$ and $R'_j = \{ n \in 2 \times A; r(n) = j \}$, for $j = 0, 1, 2$. where $2 \times A$ denotes the set $\{2a; a \in A\}$.

Lemma 2. If $A$ is a $B_2[2]$ sequence then

\[ \sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{d(n)}{2} = 2|R_2| + |R'_2| \]

Proof. We associate, for each $m \in R_2$, the unique 4-tupla $(a, b, c, d)$ such that

\[ a < b < c < d \quad \text{and} \quad a + d = b + c = m. \]

Conversely, each 4-tupla $(a, b, c, d)$, $a < b < c < d$, $a + d = b + c$ corresponds to a unique integer $m \in R_2$.

Similarly, for each $m \in R'_2$, we associate the 4-tupla $(a, b, b, d)$, $a < b < d$ such that $a + d = b + b$. Again, each 4-tupla $(a, b, b, d)$, $a < b < d$, $a + d = b + b$ corresponds to a unique integer $m \in R'_2$.

Now, for each positive integer $n$ and for each pair $(b, a), (d, c), a < c$ of different solutions of $x - y = n$, we consider the 4-tupla $(a, b, c, d)$ if $b < c$, the 4-tupla $(a, c, b, d)$ if $c < b$ and the 4-tupla $(a, b, b, d)$ if $b = c$.

Then, each 4-tupla $(a, b, c, d)$, $a < b < c < d$, $a + d = b + c$ comes from the pair of solutions $(b, a), (d, c)$ of $x - y = b - a$ and from the pair of solutions $(c, a), (d, b)$ of $x - y = c - a$.

Then, each $m \in R_2$ is counted exactly twice in the sum $\sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{d(n)}{2}$.

Similarly, each 4-tupla $(a, b, b, d)$, $a < b < d$, $a + d = b + b$ comes only from the unique pair of solutions $(b, a), (d, b)$ of $x - y = b - a$.

Then, each $m \in R'_2$ is counted once in the sum $\sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{d(n)}{2}$.

Lemma 3. $\sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{d(n)}{2} \leq \binom{|A|}{2}$

Proof. Observe that Lemma 1, i) can be written as $\binom{|A|}{2} = 2|R_2| + |R'_2| + |R_1|$.
Proof of theorem 1.

From lemma 3 and lemma 1, ii) we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} d^2(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1} d(n) + 2\left(\frac{|A|}{2}\right) - 2|R_1| \leq 3\left(\frac{|A|}{2}\right)$$

On the other hand

$$\left(\frac{|A|}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} d(n)\right)^2 \leq N \sum_{n \geq 1} d^2(n).$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{|A|}{2}\right) \leq 3N$$

and $|A| \leq \sqrt{6N} + 1$.

Note. M.Helm [4] has proved independently and in a different way the upper bound $F(N, 2) \leq \sqrt{6N} + O(1)$.
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