

LEAST TOTIENTS IN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

JAVIER CILLERUELO AND MOUBARIZ Z. GARAEV

ABSTRACT. Let $N(a, m)$ be the least integer n (if exists) such that $\varphi(n) \equiv a \pmod{m}$. Friedlander and Shparlinski proved that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $A = A(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any positive integer m which has no prime divisors $p < (\log m)^A$ and any integer a with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$, we have the bound $N(a, m) \ll m^{3+\varepsilon}$. In the present paper we improve this bound to $N(a, m) \ll m^{2+\varepsilon}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The distribution properties of the values of Euler's function $\varphi(n)$ in arithmetic progressions have been studied in a series of papers, see for example [1]–[5]. Friedlander and Shparlinski investigated the size of the least integer n , to be denoted by $N(a, m)$, such that

$$(1) \quad \varphi(n) \equiv a \pmod{m}.$$

They proved that if $m = q$ is a prime number, then $N(a, q) \ll q^{5/2+\varepsilon}$, which afterwards was improved by Garaev to $N(a, q) \ll q^{2+\varepsilon}$. In the case of composite modulo m Friedlander and Shparlinski established that for some $A = A(\varepsilon) > 0$ if $(a, m) = 1$ and if m has no prime divisors $p < (\log m)^{A(\varepsilon)}$, then $N(a, m) \ll m^{3+\varepsilon}$. The aim of the present paper is to improve this bound further to $N(a, m) \ll m^{2+\varepsilon}$, which at the same time extends Garaev's bound to this class of composite modulo m .

Theorem 1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $A = A(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, uniformly for integers $m \geq 1$ which have no prime divisors $p < (\log m)^A$ and a with $(a, m) = 1$, we have the bound*

$$N(a, m) \ll m^{2+\varepsilon}.$$

In the opposite direction, the result of Friedlander and Luca [3] implies that there exists a sequence of arithmetical progressions $a_k \pmod{m_k}$ with $m_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $N(a_k, m_k)$ exists and

$$\frac{\log N(a_k, m_k)}{\log m_k} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:*11B50, 11L40, 11N64.

During the preparation of this paper, J. C. was supported by Grant MTM 2005-04730 of MYCIT.

2. THE PROOF

As in the paper of Friedlander and Shparlinski, we look for a solution of the congruence in question in the form $n = p_1 p_2 p_3$, where p_j are prime numbers that run through prime numbers of certain disjoint intervals.

Let $k \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer constant. Let I_1, I_2, I_3 be sets of primes defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \{p : 0.5m^{1+1/k} < p \leq m^{1+1/k}, (p-1, m) = 1\}, \\ I_2 &= \{p : 0.5m < p \leq m, (p-1, m) = 1\}, \\ I_3 &= \{p : 0.5m^{1/k} < p \leq m^{1/k}, (p-1, m) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

The sets I_1, I_2, I_3 are pairwise disjoint for any sufficiently large integer m . We will prove that if m is a large integer with no prime divisors less than $(\log m)^{2(k+3)^2}$ and if $(a, m) = 1$, then the congruence

$$(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1) \equiv a \pmod{m}, \quad p_j \in I_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

has solutions. The number J of solutions of this congruence is equal to

$$J = \frac{1}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{p_1, p_2, p_3} \chi((p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1)) \bar{\chi}(a)$$

where χ runs through all multiplicative character modulo m and the primes p_1, p_2, p_3 run the sets I_1, I_2, I_3 respectively. Thus

$$(2) \quad J = \frac{|I_1||I_2||I_3|}{\varphi(m)} + \frac{\theta}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} |S_1(\chi)||S_2(\chi)||S_3(\chi)|; \quad |\theta| \leq 1,$$

where

$$S_j(\chi) = \sum_{p \in I_j} \chi(p-1), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

To prove that $J > 0$ it is enough to prove that $\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} |S_1(\chi)||S_2(\chi)||S_3(\chi)| < |I_1||I_2||I_3|$.

2.1. Preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2. *The following bounds hold:*

$$|I_1| \gg \frac{m^{1/k} \varphi(m)}{\log m}, \quad |I_2| \gg \frac{\varphi(m)}{\log m}, \quad |I_3| \gg \frac{m^{1/k} \varphi(m)}{\log m \cdot m}.$$

Proof. It follows easily from [4, Lemma 4]. □

Lemma 3. *The following bounds hold:*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\chi} |S_j(\chi)|^2 \ll (\log m) |I_j|^2, \quad j = 1, 2$$

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\chi} |S_3(\chi)|^{2k} \ll \phi(m) m (\log m)^{k^2-1}.$$

Proof. We easily check that

$$\sum_{\chi} |S_j(\chi)|^2 = \varphi(m)J_j, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where J_j is the number of pairs (p, p') , $p, p' \in I_j$ such that $p \equiv p' \pmod{m}$.

In case of $j = 2$, since $|p - p'| < m$ it implies that $p' = p$ for that pairs, so the number of pairs is exactly $|I_2|$. Lemma 2 gives

$$\sum_{\chi} |S_2(\chi)|^2 = \varphi(m)J_2 = \varphi(m)|I_2| = \frac{\varphi(m)}{|I_2|}|I_2|^2 \ll (\log m)|I_2|^2.$$

In case of $j = 1$, since $|p - p'| < m^{1+1/k}$, for each p , the number of primes p' with $p' \equiv p \pmod{m}$ is at most $m^{1/k}$. Thus $J_1 \ll m^{1/k}|I_1|$ and again by Lemma 2

$$\sum_{\chi} |S_1(\chi)|^2 \ll \varphi(m)m^{1/k}|I_1| \leq \frac{\varphi(m)m^{1/k}}{|I_1|}|I_1|^2 \ll (\log m)|I_1|^2.$$

To prove (4) we observe that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\chi} |S_3(\chi)|^{2k} = \varphi(m)J_3,$$

where J_3 is the number of $(p_1, \dots, p_k, p'_1, \dots, p'_k)$ with $p_i, p'_i \in I_3$ such that

$$(p_1 - 1) \cdots (p_k - 1) \equiv (p'_1 - 1) \cdots (p'_k - 1) \pmod{m}.$$

Since both products are less than m , the number of solutions of this congruence is bounded by

$$(6) \quad J_3 \leq \sum_{n \leq m} \tau_k^2(n),$$

where

$$\tau_k(n) = \#\{(n_1, \dots, n_k) : n_1 \cdots n_k = n\}$$

is the generalized divisor function. Now combining the well known inequality

$$\sum_{n \leq m} \tau_k^2(n) \ll m(\log m)^{k^2-1}$$

with inequalities (5) and (6), we obtain (4). \square

Lemma 4. *If $\chi \neq \chi_0$, then*

$$|S_1(\chi)| \ll (\log m)^{-k^2-6k-3}(\log \log m)|I_1|.$$

Proof. We can write

$$S_1(\chi) = \sum_{p \in I_1} \chi(p-1) = \sum_{0.5m^{1+1/k} < p \leq m^{1+1/k}} \chi(p-1),$$

since $\chi(p-1) = 0$ when $(p-1, m) > 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1(\chi)| &= \left| \sum_{p \leq m^{1+1/k}} \chi(p-1) - \sum_{p \leq 0.5m^{1+1/k}} \chi(p-1) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{p \leq m^{1+1/k}} \chi(p-1) \right| + \left| \sum_{p \leq 0.5m^{1+1/k}} \chi(p-1) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

From Rakhmonov's work [6] it is known that if $\chi \neq \chi_0$ is a multiplicative character modulo m and $(l, m) = 1$, then

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq x} \chi(p-l) \right| \leq x(\log x)^5 \tau(q) \left(\sqrt{1/q + q\tau^2(q_1)/x} + x^{-1/6} \tau(q_1) \right),$$

where q is the modulo of the conductor of χ , $q_1 = \prod_{p|m, p \nmid q} p$ and τ is the divisor function.

For $x = m^{1+1/k}$ or $x = 0.5m^{1+1/k}$ it gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{p \leq x} \chi(p-l) \right| &\ll m^{1+1/k} (\log m)^5 \frac{\tau(q)}{\sqrt{q}} \\ &+ m^{1/2+1/(2k)} (\log m)^5 q^{1/2} \tau(q_1) \tau(q) \\ &+ m^{(1+1/k)5/6} (\log m)^5 \tau(q_1) \tau(q). \end{aligned}$$

Since $q \leq m$, $k \geq 2$ and $\tau(q_1) \tau(q) \leq \tau(m) \ll m^{1/(4k)}$ we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq x} \chi(p-l) \right| \ll m^{1+1/k} (\log m)^5 \frac{\tau(q)}{\sqrt{q}} + m^{1+3/(4k)} (\log m)^5.$$

The maximum value of $\frac{\tau(q)}{\sqrt{q}}$ holds when q is the least prime divisor of m , which is greater than $(\log m)^{2(k+3)^2}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{p \leq x} \chi(p-l) \right| &\ll m^{1+1/k} (\log m)^{5-(k+3)^2} + m^{1+3/(4k)} (\log m)^5 \\ &\ll \frac{m}{\varphi(m)} (\log m)^{6-(k+3)^2} |I_1|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we use the known estimate, $\frac{m}{\varphi(m)} \ll \log \log m$. □

2.2. End of the Proof. Following the idea of [5], we split the set of nonprincipal characters into two subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \{\chi \neq \chi_0 : |S_3(\chi)| \leq |I_3| (\log m)^{-2}\}; \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{\chi \neq \chi_0 : |S_3(\chi)| > |I_3| (\log m)^{-2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (2) we have

$$(7) \quad J = \frac{|I_1||I_2||I_3|}{\varphi(m)} + \frac{\theta}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\mathcal{A}} + \frac{\theta}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\mathcal{B}}; \quad |\theta| \leq 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathcal{A}} &= \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} |S_1(\chi)||S_2(\chi)||S_3(\chi)|, \\ \sum_{\mathcal{B}} &= \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{B}} |S_1(\chi)||S_2(\chi)||S_3(\chi)|. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\sum_{\mathcal{A}}$ we observe that

$$\sum_{\mathcal{A}} \leq |I_3|(\log m)^{-2} \left(\sum_{\chi} |S_1(\chi)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\chi} |S_2(\chi)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using Lemma 3 we get that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\mathcal{A}} \ll (\log m)^{-1} |I_1||I_2||I_3|.$$

To estimate $\sum_{\mathcal{B}}$, we first note that

$$\sum_{\mathcal{B}} \leq |\mathcal{B}| \left(\max_{\chi \neq \chi_0} |S_1(\chi)| \right) |I_2||I_3|.$$

Next we estimate $|\mathcal{B}|$ using Lemma 3:

$$|\mathcal{B}||I_3|^{2k}(\log m)^{-4k} \leq \sum_{\chi} |S_3|^{2k} \ll \varphi(m)m(\log m)^{k^2-1}.$$

Thus

$$|\mathcal{B}| \ll (\log m)^{k^2+4k-1} \varphi(m)m \left(\frac{m^{1/k} \varphi(m)}{\log m \ m} \right)^{-2k} \ll (\log m)^{k^2+6k-1} \left(\frac{m}{\varphi(m)} \right)^{2k-1}.$$

We use again that $\frac{m}{\varphi(m)} \ll \log \log m$ and Lemma 4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathcal{B}} &\ll |\mathcal{B}|(\log m)^{-k^2-6k-3}(\log \log m)|I_1||I_2||I_3| \\ &\ll (\log m)^{-4}(\log \log m)^{2k}|I_1||I_2||I_3|. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this estimate together with (8) into (7), we get that

$$J = \frac{|I_1||I_2||I_3|}{\varphi(m)} (1 + O((\log m)^{-1})).$$

Thus, we have proved that for m large enough the congruence

$$(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1) \equiv a \pmod{m}$$

has some solution $p_1 \in I_1$, $p_2 \in I_2$, $p_3 \in I_3$. Since, $(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1) \leq m^{2+2/k}$, we finish the proof of our Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Dence and C. Pomerance, *Eulers function in residue classes*, The Ramanujan J. 2 (1998), 720.
- [2] K. Ford, S. Konyagin and C. Pomerance, *Residue classes free of values of the Euler function*, in Number Theory in Progress, K. Gyory, H. Iwaniec, and J. Urbanowicz, eds., vol. 2, de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 1999, 805-812.
- [3] J. Friedlander and F. Luca, *Residue Classes Having Tardy Totients*, arXiv: math.NT/0709.3056v1.
- [4] J. Friedlander and I. Shparlinski, *Least totient in residue class*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 39 (2007) 425432. Corrigendum in: *Least totient in residue class*, Bull. London Math. Soc. (2008) doi:10.1112/blms/bdn037.
- [5] M. Garaev, *A note on the least totient of a residue class*, The Quaterly Journal of Mathematics, doi:10.1093/qmath/han005.
- [6] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, *On the distribution of values of Dirichlet characters and their applications*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 207 (1995) 263-272.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, MADRID-28049, SPAIN

E-mail address: franciscojavier.cilleruelo@uam.es

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, CAMPUS MORELIA, APARTADO POSTAL 61-3 (XANGARI), C.P. 58089, MORELIA, MICHOACÁN, MÉXICO

E-mail address: garaev@matmor.unam.mx