

Remarks on Carleman estimates for the heat equation with an inverse-square potentials.

Sylvain Ervedoza

Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles.

Universidad Autónoma, December 2005

Outline of the talk

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Related works and known results
- 3 Personal contribution
- 4 Open problems

Introduction

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain, with $N \geq 3$, and $\omega \subset \Omega$.

The equation

We consider the **null controllability problem** of the **heat equation** with an **inverse square potential**.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} u = v \chi_\omega, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Precise statement of the problem

Problem

Given any $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, can we find a **control** $v \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ such that the solution u of (1) satisfies

$$u(T, v) = 0 \quad ? \quad (2)$$

Remarks on the Cauchy problem

Careful ! If $\mu > \mu^*(N)$ and if $u_0 \geq 0$, then *no solution exists**, even locally in time !

The Cauchy problem[†] is **well-posed** if μ is not too large, namely

$$\mu \leq \mu^*(N) = \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2. \quad (3)$$

Hardy

Strongly related to the **Hardy inequality**

$$\forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \mu^*(N) \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (4)$$

*Baras & Goldstein, 1984

†Vazquez and Zuazua, JFA, 2000

Remarks on the Cauchy problem

Careful ! If $\mu > \mu^*(N)$ and if $u_0 \geq 0$, then *no solution exists**, even locally in time !

The Cauchy problem[†] is **well-posed** if μ is not too large, namely

$$\mu \leq \mu^*(N) = \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2. \quad (3)$$

Hardy

Strongly related to the **Hardy inequality**

$$\forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \mu^*(N) \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (4)$$

*Baras & Goldstein, 1984

†Vazquez and Zuazua, JFA, 2000

Remarks on the Cauchy problem

Careful ! If $\mu > \mu^*(N)$ and if $u_0 \geq 0$, then *no solution exists**, even locally in time !

The Cauchy problem[†] is **well-posed** if μ is not too large, namely

$$\mu \leq \mu^*(N) = \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2. \quad (3)$$

Hardy

Strongly related to the **Hardy inequality**

$$\forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \mu^*(N) \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (4)$$

*Baras & Goldstein, 1984

†Vazquez and Zuazua, JFA, 2000

Remarks on the Cauchy problem

- Functional spaces:

$$u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \implies \frac{u}{|x|^2} \in H^{-1}.$$

- Positivity of the operator

$$-\Delta - \frac{\mu^*(N)}{|x|^2}.$$

- Hardy not attained ! \longrightarrow A natural norm is

$$\|u\|^2 = \int |\nabla u|^2 - \mu^*(N) \int |u|^2$$

From now, we assume $\mu \leq \mu^*(N)$.

Remarks on the Cauchy problem

- Functional spaces:

$$u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \implies \frac{u}{|x|^2} \in H^{-1}.$$

- Positivity of the operator

$$-\Delta - \frac{\mu^*(N)}{|x|^2}.$$

- Hardy not attained ! \longrightarrow A natural norm is

$$\|u\|^2 = \int |\nabla u|^2 - \mu^*(N) \int |u|^2$$

From now, we assume $\mu \leq \mu^*(N)$.

Remarks on the Cauchy problem

- Functional spaces:

$$u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \implies \frac{u}{|x|^2} \in H^{-1}.$$

- Positivity of the operator

$$-\Delta - \frac{\mu^*(N)}{|x|^2}.$$

- Hardy not attained ! \longrightarrow A natural norm is

$$\|u\|^2 = \int |\nabla u|^2 - \mu^*(N) \int |u|^2$$

From now, we assume $\mu \leq \mu^*(N)$.

Remarks on the Cauchy problem

- Functional spaces:

$$u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \implies \frac{u}{|x|^2} \in H^{-1}.$$

- Positivity of the operator

$$-\Delta - \frac{\mu^*(N)}{|x|^2}.$$

- Hardy not attained ! \longrightarrow A natural norm is

$$\|u\|^2 = \int |\nabla u|^2 - \mu^*(N) \int |u|^2$$

From now, we assume $\mu \leq \mu^*(N)$.

Previous works

- **On the heat equations:**

Fursikov & Imanuvilov, *Controllability of evolution equations* (1996),

Lebeau & Robbiano, *Contrôle exact de l'équation de la chaleur* (1995),

Fernandez-Cara & Zuazua, *Null and approximate controllability for weakly blowing up semilinear heat equation* (2000),

- **Heat equations with singular coefficients:**

Martinez & Vancostenoble, *Carleman estimates for one-dimensional degenerate heat equations* (2007)

Vancostenoble & Zuazua, *Null-controllability for the heat equation with singular inverse-square potentials* (2007).

Known results

Heat equation with a potential

Controllability of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u - a(x)u = v\chi_\omega, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Ok[‡] if

$$a \in L^p(\Omega), \quad p > 2N/3.$$

Here,

$$\frac{1}{|x|^2} \in L^{N/2-\epsilon}(\Omega), \quad \epsilon > 0 \quad !$$

[‡]Imanuvilov & Yamamoto (2003)

Known results

Heat equation with a potential

Controllability of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u - a(x)u = v\chi_\omega, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Ok[‡] if

$$a \in L^p(\Omega), \quad p > 2N/3.$$

Here,

$$\frac{1}{|x|^2} \in L^{N/2-\epsilon}(\Omega), \quad \epsilon > 0 \quad !$$

[‡]Imanuvilov & Yamamoto (2003)

Known results

Conjecture and open problem

- We do not expect controllability to hold for

$$a \in L^p, \quad p < N/2.$$

- We do not know what happens when

$$a \in L^p(\Omega), \quad p \in (N/2, 2N/3] \quad !$$

Anyway, with the inverse square potential, we cannot apply the existing theory !

Known results

Controllability of the heat equation with a singular inverse-square potential holds[§] **under some geometric assumptions** on the control region ω , namely :

Assumption

ω contains an annular set which surrounds the singularity.

Key point of the proof: Use **spherical harmonics** to work with radial equations near the singularity, and a **Carleman estimate** on a **1-d** heat equation with a singular potential.

Our goal: Remove the **geometrical assumption**.

From now, we assume $\bar{\omega} \cap \bar{B}(O, 1) = \emptyset$. (*scaling argument*)

[§]Vancostenoble & Zuazua, 2007

Known results

Controllability of the heat equation with a singular inverse-square potential holds[§] **under some geometric assumptions** on the control region ω , namely :

Assumption

ω contains an annular set which surrounds the singularity.

Key point of the proof: Use **spherical harmonics** to work with radial equations near the singularity, and a **Carleman estimate** on a **1-d** heat equation with a singular potential.

Our goal: Remove the **geometrical assumption**.

From now, we assume $\bar{\omega} \cap \bar{B}(O, 1) = \emptyset$. (*scaling argument*)

[§]Vancostenoble & Zuazua, 2007

Known results

Controllability of the heat equation with a singular inverse-square potential holds[§] **under some geometric assumptions** on the control region ω , namely :

Assumption

ω contains an annular set which surrounds the singularity.

Key point of the proof: Use **spherical harmonics** to work with radial equations near the singularity, and a **Carleman estimate** on a **1-d** heat equation with a singular potential.

Our goal: Remove the **geometrical assumption**.

From now, we assume $\bar{\omega} \cap \bar{B}(O, 1) = \emptyset$. (*scaling argument*)

[§]Vancostenoble & Zuazua, 2007

Known results

Controllability of the heat equation with a singular inverse-square potential holds[§] **under some geometric assumptions** on the control region ω , namely :

Assumption

ω contains an annular set which surrounds the singularity.

Key point of the proof: Use **spherical harmonics** to work with radial equations near the singularity, and a **Carleman estimate** on a **1-d** heat equation with a singular potential.

Our goal: Remove the **geometrical assumption**.

From now, we assume $\bar{\omega} \cap \bar{B}(O, 1) = \emptyset$. (*scaling argument*)

[§]Vancostenoble & Zuazua, 2007

Controllability result

Theorem

Controllability holds for (1) for $\mu \leq \mu^*(N)$ **without any geometric assumption !**

Difficulties :

- We **cannot** use spherical harmonics.
- Choosing a weight conveniently in a Carleman estimate.

Controllability result

Theorem

Controllability holds for (1) for $\mu \leq \mu^*(N)$ **without any geometric assumption !**

Difficulties :

- We **cannot** use spherical harmonics.
- Choosing a weight conveniently in a Carleman estimate.

Sketch of the proof

Step 1

Use HUM, and hence rather study the observability of the adjoint system, that is the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |w(x, 0)|^2 dx \leq C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} |w(x, t)|^2 dx dt \quad (5)$$

for any solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + \Delta w + \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} w = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ w(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ w(x, T) = w_T(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Sketch of the proof

Step 2

Derive a **Carleman estimate** to prove the observability inequality (5).

Let ψ enjoying the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) = \ln(|x|), & x \in B(O, 1), \\ \psi(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \\ \psi(x) > 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus \bar{B}(O, 1), \\ |\nabla\psi(x)| \geq \delta > 0, & x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \omega, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

define $\sigma(t, x)$ the **weight function**

$$\sigma(t, x) = s\theta(t) \left(e^{2\lambda \sup \psi} - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - \phi(x) \right), \quad (8)$$

where s and λ are large coefficients, and θ and ϕ are

$$\theta(t) = \left(\frac{1}{t(T-t)} \right)^3, \quad \phi(x) = e^{\lambda\psi(x)}.$$

Carleman estimate

Theorem

$\exists K > 0, \exists \lambda_0 > 0, \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_0, \exists s_0(\lambda), \forall s \geq s_0$, any w solution of (6) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & s\lambda^2 \iint_{\Omega \setminus B(O,1)} \theta \phi e^{-2\sigma} |\nabla w|^2 + s^3 \lambda^4 \iint_{\Omega \setminus B(O,1)} \theta^3 \phi^3 e^{-2\sigma} |w|^2 \\
 & + s \iint_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \theta e^{-2\sigma} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|} + s^3 \iint_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \theta^3 e^{-2\sigma} |x|^2 |w|^2 \\
 & \leq K \left(s\lambda^2 \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \theta \phi e^{-2\sigma} |\nabla w|^2 + s^3 \lambda^4 \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \theta^3 \phi^3 e^{-2\sigma} |w|^2 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Comments

- The weight function looks like $\theta(t)(C - |x|^2)$ in the unit ball as the weight proposed in Vancostenoble & Zuazua to study the radial singular equations.
- Outside the ball, the weight functions looks like the weight function proposed by Fursikov & Imanuvilov to study the observability of the heat equation.
- If w is a solution of (6) with a source term f , the same inequality holds provided we add

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} e^{-2\sigma} |f|^2$$

in the right-hand side of the inequality.

Comments

- The weight function looks like $\theta(t)(C - |x|^2)$ in the unit ball as the weight proposed in Vancostenoble & Zuazua to study the radial singular equations.
- Outside the ball, the weight functions looks like the weight function proposed by Fursikov & Imanuvilov to study the observability of the heat equation.
- If w is a solution of (6) with a source term f , the same inequality holds provided we add

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} e^{-2\sigma} |f|^2$$

in the right-hand side of the inequality.

Comments

- The weight function looks like $\theta(t)(C - |x|^2)$ in the unit ball as the weight proposed in Vancostenoble & Zuazua to study the radial singular equations.
- Outside the ball, the weight functions looks like the weight function proposed by Fursikov & Imanuvilov to study the observability of the heat equation.
- If w is a solution of (6) with a source term f , the same inequality holds provided we add

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} e^{-2\sigma} |f|^2$$

in the right-hand side of the inequality.

Comments

- In the proof, we use the following **Hardy inequality**:

$$\mu^*(N) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^2} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 + C \int_{\Omega} |w|^2.$$

- If $\mu < \mu^*(N)$, one can add in the left-hand side the following term

$$s(\mu^*(N) - \mu) \iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \theta e^{-2\sigma} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^2}.$$

- For any $\gamma < 2$, replacing θ by $(t(T-t))^{-1-2/\gamma}$, one can obtain in the right hand-side

$$s \iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \theta e^{-2\sigma} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^\gamma}.$$

Comments

- In the proof, we use the following **Hardy inequality**:

$$\mu^*(N) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^2} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 + C \int_{\Omega} |w|^2.$$

- If $\mu < \mu^*(N)$, one can add in the left-hand side the following term

$$s(\mu^*(N) - \mu) \iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \theta e^{-2\sigma} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^2}.$$

- For any $\gamma < 2$, replacing θ by $(t(T-t))^{-1-2/\gamma}$, one can obtain in the right hand-side

$$s \iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \theta e^{-2\sigma} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^\gamma}.$$

Comments

- In the proof, we use the following **Hardy inequality**:

$$\mu^*(N) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^2} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 + C \int_{\Omega} |w|^2.$$

- If $\mu < \mu^*(N)$, one can add in the left-hand side the following term

$$s(\mu^*(N) - \mu) \iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \theta e^{-2\sigma} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^2}.$$

- For any $\gamma < 2$, replacing θ by $(t(T-t))^{-1-2/\gamma}$, one can obtain in the right hand-side

$$s \iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \theta e^{-2\sigma} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^\gamma}.$$

To the observability

Step 3

Using **Cacciopoli's inequality**, one easily obtain

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (\frac{T}{4}, \frac{3T}{4})} |w(x, t)|^2 \leq C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} |w(x, t)|^2.$$

Due to the **dissipation properties** of (6), this implies the observability inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |w(x, 0)|^2 dx \leq C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} |w(x, t)|^2 dx dt.$$

\hat{E}

Further comments

Other singular potentials

Using the same Carleman estimate, one can prove the controllability of the heat equation with more general potentials

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} u + \frac{m}{|x|^\gamma} u = v \chi_\omega, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with $\gamma < 2$, and still $\mu \leq \mu^*(N)$.

Multipolar singular potentials

Multipolar case

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u - \sum_i \frac{\mu_i}{|x - x_i|^2} u = v \chi_\omega, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $\mu_i \leq \mu^*(N)$ for each i , and the set $\{x_i\}$ is **finite**.

Result

Controllability for system (9) holds !

Without loss of generality, we assume (*scaling*)

$$\inf \{|x_i - x_j|\} \geq 2, \quad d(x_i, \partial\Omega) \geq 2.$$

Multipolar singular potentials

Multipolar case

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u - \sum_i \frac{\mu_i}{|x - x_i|^2} u = v \chi_\omega, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $\mu_i \leq \mu^*(N)$ for each i , and the set $\{x_i\}$ is **finite**.

Result

Controllability for system (9) holds !

Without loss of generality, we assume (*scaling*)

$$\inf \{|x_i - x_j|\} \geq 2, \quad d(x_i, \partial\Omega) \geq 2.$$

Multipolar Carleman estimate

The weight function

The following choice gives a "nice" Carleman inequality for (9)

$$\sigma(t, x) = s\theta \left(e^{2\lambda \sup \psi} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |x - x_i|^2 \gamma(x - x_i) - e^{\lambda \psi(x)} \right),$$

where ψ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) = \ln(|x - x_i|), & x \in B(x_i, 1), \\ \psi(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \\ \psi(x) > 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus \left(\cup_i \bar{B}(x_i, 1) \right), \\ |\nabla \psi| \geq \delta > 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus \omega \end{cases}$$

and γ is such that

$$\gamma(x) = 1, \quad |x| \leq 1, \quad \gamma(x) = 0, \quad |x| \geq 2.$$

Remarks

From the Carleman estimate, any solution of (9) satisfies

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (\frac{T}{4}, \frac{3T}{4})} |w(x, t)|^2 \leq C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} |w(x, t)|^2.$$

But the system is **not dissipative** anymore !

Indeed, the quadratic form

$$Q(w) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 - \sum_i \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu_i}{|x - x_i|^2} |w|^2$$

is not positive !

However, it is bounded from below, and this is enough to conclude!

Remarks

From the Carleman estimate, any solution of (9) satisfies

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (\frac{T}{4}, \frac{3T}{4})} |w(x, t)|^2 \leq C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} |w(x, t)|^2.$$

But the system is **not dissipative** anymore !

Indeed, the quadratic form

$$Q(w) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 - \sum_i \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu_i}{|x - x_i|^2} |w|^2$$

is not positive !

However, it is bounded from below, and this is enough to conclude!

Remarks

From the Carleman estimate, any solution of (9) satisfies

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (\frac{T}{4}, \frac{3T}{4})} |w(x, t)|^2 \leq C \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} |w(x, t)|^2.$$

But the system is **not dissipative** anymore !

Indeed, the quadratic form

$$Q(w) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 - \sum_i \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mu_i}{|x - x_i|^2} |w|^2$$

is not positive !

However, it is bounded from below, and this is enough to conclude!

$$\mu > \mu^*(N)$$

Known results

- Baras & Goldstein (1984): **No solution** for positive initial data, even locally in time!
- Vazquez & Zuazua (2000): Well-posed Cauchy problem in the ball when **filtering**.
- Vancostenoble & Zuazua (2007): Controllability in the ball for filtered initial data with a control on an **annular set**.

Problem

Controllability without filtering ? In any set ?

Non linear parabolic equations

The operator

$$-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$$

appears as the linearization of several **nonlinear elliptic** problems[¶]

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_1 = \lambda_1 e^{u_1}, & -\Delta u_2 = \lambda_2(1 + u_2)^p & \text{in } B(O, 1) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } S(0, 1) \end{cases}$$

Problem

Can we control the corresponding **nonlinear parabolic** equation around the stationary state u_1 , (respectively u_2)?

[¶]Brézis & Vazquez, Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, 1997

Wave equation with an inverse-square potential

Wave equation

Under **which geometrical assumption** can we control the following equation ?

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}^2 u - \Delta u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} u = v\chi_\omega, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Thanks

Thank you for your attention !

More details available by email at
`sylvain.ervedoza@math.uvsq.fr`